

TANEY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

IRB CHECKLIST – CONTINUING REVIEW



HRPP # _____

Date:	Name of Reviewer:
Reviewer Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Primary <input type="checkbox"/> Secondary <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited	
Type of Project:	Name of Agencies Lead Investigator:
Name of Principal Investigator (if different from above):	Name of Lead IRB:
REVIEWER RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY	
Level of Risk (please check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Remains.... <input type="checkbox"/> Has changed to.... <input type="checkbox"/> Minimal Risk (the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort are not greater than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests) <input type="checkbox"/> Greater than minimal risk	Device Category (please check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Risk <input type="checkbox"/> Non-significant Risk
Child Category (see also Attachment 1): <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Cat. 1 (45 CFR 46.404) – minimal risk w/prospect of direct benefit <input type="checkbox"/> Cat. 2 (45 CFR 46.405) – greater than minimal risk w/prospect of direct benefit	Recommended IRB Action (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Approve as submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Modifications required to secure approval <input type="checkbox"/> Defer for the reasons described below <input type="checkbox"/> Table for the reasons described below <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove for the reasons described below <input type="checkbox"/> Suspend for the reasons described below <input type="checkbox"/> Terminate for the reasons described below
Maximum Total Subject Enrollment Number (upper limit):	Independent Verification of No Material Changes Since Previous IRB Review (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Not Recommended <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended (please comment):
Continuing Review Frequency (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> 12 months <input type="checkbox"/> 6 months <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Type of Review (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited reviewer acting on behalf of the IRB Category No.: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Recommendations to convened IRB by expedited reviewer <input type="checkbox"/> Recommendations by convened IRB
Signature of Reviewer:	Date:

PART A – BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Please verify that the investigator has provided adequate information for the continuation of the proposed research.

	Yes	No	N/A
a. Investigator has been using the current, approved informed consent document	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed informed consent document for the next approval period reflects all proposed changes and revisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The number of subjects enrolled corresponds to the number approved for enrollment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The research project and progress to date are described adequately	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Serious, unanticipated adverse events for the whole study are summarized adequately	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The following information since the last IRB review is described adequately	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1) Serious adverse events and unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Withdrawal of subjects	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Complaints	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) New information provided in study reports and recent literature	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Host country continuing approval obtained and received (If necessary)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Continuing IRB approval from collaborating institutions obtained	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments or Concerns:

PART B – REGULATORY CRITERIA FOR APPROVALS

Regulatory Criteria: The IRB is required to conduct **substantive and meaningful continuing review** of research at intervals appropriate to the degree of risk, but not less than once per year. In order to approve continuation of the research, the IRB must have sufficient information to determine that the eight required criteria below have been satisfied.

2. Please verify that the investigator has submitted sufficient information to determine that the following criteria have been satisfied:

	Yes	No	N/A
a. Risks are minimized through sound research design	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Risks are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Selection of subjects is equitable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The informed consent process is adequate (or has previously been waived by the IRB)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Documentation of informed consent is adequate (or has previously been waived by the IRB)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Safety monitoring is adequate and appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Provisions for the protection of privacy of subjects and the confidentiality of data/records are adequate and appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Safeguards for vulnerable subjects are adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments or Concerns:

3. Please verify that safeguards for vulnerable subjects are adequate:

- Military personnel** (complete **Question 7-A**)
- Adults with Impaired Capacity for Decision-Making** (complete **Question 7-B**)
- Other vulnerable adults** (e.g., socially or economically disadvantaged, complete **Question 7-C**)
- Children** (complete **Attachment 1**, see 45 CFR Part 46 Subpart D)
- Prisoners** (complete **Attachment 2**, see 45 CFR Part 46 Subpart C)
- Pregnant Women and Fetuses** (complete **Attachment 3**, see 45 CFR Part 46 Subpart B)
- Neonates** (complete **Attachment 3**, see 45 CFR Part 46 Subpart B)
- N/A – no vulnerable subjects** (skip to Question 13 below)

A. Military Personnel	Yes	No	N/A
1. Deployment of military personnel has been considered and contingency planning is appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Approval from appropriate supervisors has been obtained	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. For research involving more than minimal risk, procedures to prevent influence by unit officers and noncommissioned officers (NCOs) on the decisions of their subordinates to participate or not participate. (e.g., unit officers and NCOs are not present during recruitment and consent process)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. When a percentage of the unit is being recruited to participate as a group, an ombudsman (independent from research and unit) will be present to monitor the recruitment briefings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments or Concerns:

B. Adults with Impaired Capacity for Decision-Making	Yes	No	N/A
1. Procedures to assess subjects' decisional capacity and understanding of the research are adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Procedures for obtaining consent from legally authorized representative are adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Protections for subjects' with impaired decision making are adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Concerns:

C. Other Vulnerable Subjects	Yes	No	N/A
Procedures to address subjects' vulnerabilities are included, appropriate, and adequate (e.g., provisions for coercion and operational commitments)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments or Concerns:

PART C – DOCUMENTATION REVIEWED

4. Please check if you have reviewed the following additional documentation:

I have personally reviewed:	Yes	No	N/A
a. Investigator assurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Research protocol, clinical investigator’s brochure, grant application (as applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Current informed consent, parental permission, and/or child assent documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Proposed informed consent, parental permission, and/or child assent documents (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Forms for non-English speakers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Previous initial review packet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Previous continuing review packet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Host country government approvals (if necessary)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. Host country ethical approvals (if necessary)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. Collaborating institutions’ IRB approvals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments or Concerns:			

Revised 9/15